

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/676,650	09/30/2003	Kourosh Gharachorloo	60963-0012	8973	
24341	7590 05/02/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP.			COBY, F	COBY, FRANTZ	
	TO SQUARE MINO REAL		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
PALO ALT	ALO ALTO, CA 94306		2161		
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/676,650	GHARACHORLO	GHARACHORLOO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Frantz Coby	2161	a <sup>r</sup>	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	ldress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMU 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) No., cause the application to become	NICATION.  y a reply be timely filed  ### MONTHS from the mailing date of this come and the mailing date of this come and the come and		
Status				
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 S</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	action is non-final. nce except for formal m	· •	e merits is	
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abe ion is required if the drawi	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>06-15-04:07-21-05</u>.</li> </ol>	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTC	O-152)	

Art Unit: 2161

This is in response to application filed on September 30, 2003 in which claims 1-33 are presented for examination.

## Status of Claims

Claims 1-33 are pending, of which, claims 1, 18, 19 and 33 are independent claims.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed on June 15, 2004 and July 21, 2005 are in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609. They have been placed in the application file and the information referred to therein has been considered as to the merits.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In particular, claims 1, 18, 19 and 33 it is not clear as to what signal is supposed to be detected; nor, how the signal is supposed to be detected. Also, the claim is not clear as to which criteria is to be met; nor, how the criteria is to be meet.

Claims 1 and 19 recite the limitations "there are" in line 8 and line 4 respectively.

Pronouns are not permitted in the claims. Only what is being referred by "there" should be set forth in the claim.

Claims 2-3 are also rejected because of the recitation "will produce" and "will add" respectively. This claimed recitation renders the claim vague and indefinite

Claim 17 is also rejected because of the recitation "that will be". This claimed recitation renders the claim vague and indefinite

Claims 2-17 and 20-32 are at least rejected for their dependencies, directly or indirectly, on the rejected claims 1, 18-19 and 33 above.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claims are directed to a search method where detection of a signal is recited throughout the claims. A signal is a form of energy. Such form of energy, *signal per se*, does not resolve or enhance the search method intended to be described by the body of the claims. That, therefore, does not form the basis for statutory subject matter under 35. U.S.C. 101.

Application/Control Number: 10/676,650

Art Unit: 2161.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a machine, an asserted utility or a well established utility. The language of the claims 1 and 18 raises a question as to whether the claims are directed merely to an abstract idea that is not tied to a technological art, environment or machine which would result in a practical application producing a concrete, useful, and tangible result to form the basis of statutory subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101.

As per claims 2-17, these claims are at least rejected for their dependencies, directly or indirectly, on the rejected claims 1 and 18 above.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zhou et al. U.S. Publication 2005/0273318 A1.

As per claims 1, 18-19 and 33, Zhou et al. disclose "an extended search method" by providing a method and system for retrieving sentences (See Zhou et al. Title). In

Art Unit: 2161

particular, the retrieving system of Zhou et al. comprising: "receiving a search query" (Page 1, Paragraph 0009). Also, Zhou et al. disclose the claimed limitations of "searching a standard index of a set of replicated standard indexes having multiple instances of the standard index, based on said search query; detecting a signal based on said searching of said standard index, and when the signal meets predefined criteria: searching an extended index of a set extended indexes having at least one instance of the extended index, wherein there are fewer instances of the extended indexes than instances of the standard index" as a search engine that retrieves confirming sentences from the sentence database in response to the guery. The guery is received and indexing units are defined, based upon the query, with the indexing units including both lemma from the guery and extended indexing units associated with the guery, where sentences from the sentence database are retrieved by the search engine using the defined indexing units as search parameters (See Zhou et al. Page 1, paragraph 0010). Further, Zhou et al. disclose the claimed features of "obtaining extended search results" from the extended index, and transmitting at least a portion of the extended search results" (See Zhou et al. Page 1, paragraph 0011).

As per claims 2-17 and 20-32 are at least rejected for their dependencies, directly or indirectly, on the rejected claims 1, 18-19 and 33 above.

Application/Control Number: 10/676,650

Art Unit: 2161

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Avadhanam et al. U.S. Patent no. 6,778,977 disclosed a method and system for creating a database table index using multiple processors.

Avadhanam et al. U.S. 2004/0199530 A1 disclosed a method and system for crating a database table index using multiple processors.

Rundell U.S. Patent no. 4,633,391 disclosed an extended index for digital information storage and retrieval device.

Zhou et al. U.S. 2004/0059718 A1 disclosed a method and system for retrieving confirming sentences.

Kanno U.S. Patent no. 6,169,999 disclosed a dictionary and index creating system and document retrieval system.

Copeland et al. U.S. Patent no. 5,878,260 disclosed an information handling system method and articles of manufacture including object name services with multilevel indices.

Morishita U.S. Patent no. 5,761,688 disclosed an index structure of a dictionary retrieval apparatus.

Kinoshita et al. U.S. Patent no. 5,715,446 disclosed an information searching apparatus for searching text to retrieve character streams agreeing with a key word.

Application/Control Number: 10/676,650 Page 7

Art Unit: 2161

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frantz Coby whose telephone number is 571 272 4017. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on 571 272 4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FRANTZ COBY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

April 29, 2006